ORIGINAL



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION CONVINUESSION
Arizona Corporation Commission

200 200 15 A R 08

DOCKETED

JAN 1 6 2008

DOCKETED BY



COMMISSIONERS

MIKE GLEASON, Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL JEFF HATCH-MILLER KRISTIN K. MAYES GARY PIERCE

IN THE MATTER OF QWEST CORPORATION'S PETITION FOR ARBITRATION AND APPROVAL OF AMENDMENT TO INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT WITH ARIZONA DIALTONE, INC. PURSUANT TO SECTION 252(b) OF THE COMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1934, AS AMENDED BY THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996 AND APPLICABLE STATE LAWS.

DOCKET NO. T-01051B-07-0693

DOCKET NO. T-03608A-07-0693

PROCEDURAL ORDER

BY THE COMMISSION:

On December 17, 2007, Qwest Corporation ("Qwest") filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") a Petition for Arbitration under 47 U.S.C. § 252(b) and Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C.") R14-2-1505 ("Petition"). In its Petition, Owest requested that the Commission resolve issues related to the Interconnection Agreement ("ICA") between Owest and Arizona Dialtone, Inc. ("Arizona Dialtone"). According to Owest, the issues derive from Arizona Dialtone's refusal to enter into an amendment to the current ICA ("ICA Amendment") that would implement changes related to unbundled access to mass market local circuit switching, changes that Owest asserts are mandated by federal law, specifically the Federal Communications Commission's Triennial Review Remand Order¹ ("TRRO") and 47 C.F.R. § 51.319(d). Qwest asserts that Arizona Dialtone has refused to transition its UNE-P services as required by the TRRO and federal regulations and has refused to enter into the ICA Amendment to implement TRRO-mandated changes. Qwest asks that the Commission arbitrate each disputed issue included in its Petition, resolve each issue in Owest's favor, find that its proposed ICA Amendment is consistent with the applicable law, issue an order adopting its ICA Amendment, and grant such other relief as is fair and justified. Arizona Dialtone has not filed a reply in the Arbitration matter.

27

28

In the Matter of Unbundled Access to Network Elements, Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers, Order on Remand, 20 F.C.C.R. 2533 (2005).

Also on December 17, 2007, Qwest filed a Complaint against Arizona Dialtone, requesting that the Commission (1) declare that the ICA requires Arizona Dialtone to compensate Qwest at the transitional rate for UNE-P PAL and POTS for embedded services for a one-year transition period that began March 11, 2005, and at the rate for alternative services for new orders thereafter; (2) compel Arizona Dialtone to pay such charges to Qwest; (3) compel Arizona Dialtone to pay late payment charges on the amounts ordered to be paid; (4) compel Arizona Dialtone to execute the ICA Amendment and to comply with its obligations thereunder; and (5) award such other relief, including but not limited to appropriate fines or penalties, as the Commission deems just and reasonable. Arizona Dialtone has not yet filed a response in the Complaint matter.

A joint procedural conference for the Arbitration matter and the Complaint matter was held on January 14, 2008, at the Commission's offices in Phoenix, Arizona. Qwest and Arizona Dialtone each appeared through counsel. Because it was Qwest, an ILEC, rather than Arizona Dialtone that requested negotiations in the Arbitration matter, and 47 U.S.C. § 252(b) allows a party to a negotiation to petition for arbitration within a specified period after an ILEC receives a request for negotiations, Qwest and Arizona Dialtone were both asked to state their positions on (1) Qwest's authority to petition for arbitration under 47 U.S.C. § 252 and (2) the applicability of the 47 U.S.C. § 252 timelines. As a full discussion of these issues was not possible at the procedural conference, Qwest and Arizona Dialtone were directed to file briefs on those issues, including citations to relevant legal authorities, legal analysis, and copies of any cited legal authorities that are not readily available, by January 28, 2008.

Also at the procedural conference, Qwest and Arizona Dialtone were asked for their positions on consolidating the Arbitration matter and the Complaint matter. Neither Qwest nor Arizona Dialtone objected to consolidating the two matters. The issue of consolidation was taken under advisement.

In light of the issue regarding Qwest's authority to petition for arbitration under 47 U.S.C. § 252, Qwest and Arizona Dialtone were also asked whether they objected to suspending the timelines under 47 U.S.C. § 252, assuming that they apply. Qwest objected to a suspension of the timelines, while Arizona Dialtone did not. As a result of Qwest's objection, the hearing in the Arbitration

matter was tentatively scheduled for February 11, 2008. Counsel for Qwest and Arizona Dialtone indicated that this date appeared to be acceptable, and counsel for Qwest was instructed to make a filing as soon as possible if that should prove to be incorrect upon further inquiry. Counsel for Qwest was also instructed that requesting a different hearing date would likely result in suspension of the 47 U.S.C. § 252 timelines.

Because the factual premise for the Arbitration matter and the Complaint matter are the same, Qwest and Arizona Dialtone do not object to consolidation of the matters, and efficiency would be served by having both matters considered in the same proceeding, the two matters should be consolidated if the Arbitration matter is allowed to proceed. However, consolidation is not being ordered at this time, pending resolution of the issues concerning Qwest's authority to petition for arbitration under 47 U.S.C. § 252 and the applicability of the 47 U.S.C. § 252 timelines.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Qwest and Arizona Dialtone shall each file, no later than January 28, 2008, a brief discussing (1) Qwest's authority to petition for arbitration under 47 U.S.C. § 252 and (2) the applicability of the 47 U.S.C. § 252 timelines in the Arbitration matter. Each brief shall include citations to relevant legal authorities, legal analysis, and copies of any cited legal authorities that are not readily available. It is also requested that Staff for the Commission's Utilities Division ("Staff") file such a brief by January 28, 2008.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a hearing in the Arbitration matter shall commence on February 11, 2008, at 10:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as is practicable, at the Commission's offices, 1200 West Washington, Phoenix, Arizona 85007. If consolidation of the Arbitration matter and Complaint matter is ordered prior to February 11, 2008, the hearing will proceed as to both matters. The hearing may be canceled or continued if it is determined prior to February 11, 2008, that the Arbitration matter should be dismissed, that the timelines of 47 U.S.C. § 252 do not apply, or that the matter should be delayed for good cause. Staff is requested to appear and participate in the hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, if the hearing goes forward on the Arbitration matter alone or on both matters (if consolidated prior to February 11, 2008), Qwest and Arizona Dialtone shall equally share the costs for transcription and shall arrange and pay to have expedited transcripts

1	("dailies") prepared and provided to the Commission's Hearing Division.
2	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all parties must comply with Rules 31 and 38 of the Rules
3	of the Arizona Supreme Court and A.R.S. § 40-243 with respect to the practice of law and admission
4	pro hac vice.
5	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Ex Parte Rule (A.A.C. R14-3-113—Unauthorized
6	Communications) applies to this proceeding and shall remain in effect until the Commission's
7	Decision in this matter is final and non-appealable.
8	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Arbitrator/Administrative Law Judge may rescind,
9	alter, amend, or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or
10	by ruling at hearing.
11	DATED this 10th day of January, 2008.
12	
13	
14	SARAH N. HARPRING
15	ARBITRATOR/ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
16	JODGE
17	
18	Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered
19	his <u>////</u> day of January, 2008, to:
20	Norman G. Curtright, Corporate Counsel
21	QWEST CORPORATION 20 East Thomas Road, 16 th Floor
22	Phoenix, AZ 85012 Attorney for Qwest Corporation
23	
24	Matthew A. Klopp Claudio E. Iannitelli
25	CHIEFETZ, IANNITELLI & MARCOLINI P.C.
26	Viad Tower, 19 th Floor
27	Phoenix, AZ 85004
	Attorneys for Arizona Dialtone, Inc.

1	Tom Bade, President ARIZONA DIALTONE, INC.
2	7170 West Oakland Chandler, AZ 85226
3	Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel
4	Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
5	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007
6	Ernest G. Johnson, Director
7	Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
8	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007
9	ARIZONA REPORTING SERVICE, INC.
10	2200 North Central Avenue, Suite 502 Phoenix, Arizona 85004-1481
11	·
12	
13	By: Noch . Debra Brøyles
14	Secretary to Sarah N. Harpring
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	·
25	
26	
27	
	II